



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

is: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/627,386 04/04/96 **BAUR** MERCK-1753-D G **EXAMINER** MMC2/1219 MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN FARKER, K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I 2200 CLARENDON BLVD SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON VA 22201 2871

DATE MAILED: 12/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/627,386

Applicant(s)

Baur et al

Examiner

Kenneth Parker

Group Art Unit 2871



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 22, 2000	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to slonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension of CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the benda for response will asses the
Disposition of Claims	ic/are pending in the application.
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 20-124	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on	is approved disapproved. y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-1000000000000000000000000000000000000	•
SFF OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/627,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's newly issued patents 5841499 and 5841498 were over sighted by the examiner in the previous office action, double patenting rejections missed in that action are presented here. Also claims 86 and 87 have issues relating to 35 USC 112, also missed in the previous office action. The oversight is regretted; new rejections follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 86-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Page 3 Serial Number: 08/627,386

Art Unit: 2871

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this

application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

All claims are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double 2.

patenting as being unpatentable over all claims of U.S. Patent No. 5841498. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

claims differ only in minor language descriptions.

All claims are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double 3.

patenting as being unpatentable over all claims of U.S. Patent No. 5841499. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

claims differ only in minor language descriptions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kenneth Parker whose telephone number is (703) 305-6202. The fax phone

number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

of this application or preceding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0956.

December 18, 2000

KENNETH ALLEN PARKER PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

GAU 2871